

3 ①
Chh

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT
BILASPUR

W.P.NO.3095 OF 2003.

PETITIONER :

R. K.Chaturvedi, S/o
Shri Bhagwan Das,
Aged about 45 years,
Sub Engineer, Irrigation
Department, at Left Bank
Canal Sub Division No.4,
Seoni Champa,(C.G.)
and permanent Resident of
Badamalahara, District
Chhatarpur, (M.P.)

P. R. No. 2941/03
Presented by Shri. Kishan Verma
dated 21/09/03

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through; The Secretary,
Irrigation Department,
D.K.S. Bhawant, Raipur,
(C.G.)
2. State of Madhya Pradesh,
Through ; - The Additional
Secretary, Irrigation
Department, Vallabh
Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)
3. Union of India Through;
The Director, Department of
personnel and training
public grievances and
pension, Room No.312, Lok
Nayak Bhawan, 3rd Floor,
Khan Market, (State Re-

RECEIVED COPY.
23/9/03
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR



x 2003

Raw

Dem

G.A.H.
23/9/03

1

(2) (4)

Organisation Cell) Khan Market New

Delhi.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF THE INDIA

120

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W. P. No. 3095 OF 2003


Petitioner : R.K. Chaturvedi
Versus
Respondents : State of C.G. and others

Shri Rakesh Mohan Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Alok Bakshi, Govt. Advocate for State/respondent No.1.
Shri V.C. Ottalwar, counsel for respondent No.2.
Shri S.K. Beriwal, counsel for respondent No.3/Union of India.

ORAL ORDER

(21st February, 2006)

1. The petitioner was employed as Sub Engineer, in Irrigation Department, Ambikapur, (C.G.), thereafter, the petitioner was transferred to Irrigation Division Tikamgarh (M.P.) and thereafter the petitioner was again transferred to Water Resources Division Nowgong, District Chhatarpur, (M.P.)
2. By order dated 16.9.2002, the petitioner has been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh, contrary to his option. The petitioner being resident of Village Badamalahara, District Chhatarpur, (M.P.) wants his reallocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh vide order dated 16.9.2002, the petitioner wants to make a representation to the respondents/authorities for considering his case for reallocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh, to which learned counsel for the respondents have no objection.



(21)

4. In view of foregoing, the petitioner is granted liberty to make a representation before the concerned authorities. The concerned authorities are accordingly directed to consider and decide the representation, if any, and to pass order in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of representation.
5. In view of the above, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Copy